

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,566	12/12/2003	Reinhard Lihl	LVIP:108US	LVIP:108US 1877	
. 75	90 12/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Robert P. Simpson, Esq.			PETERSON, KENNETH E		
Simpson & Sim					
5555 Main Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Williamsville NY 14221			3724	*	

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>, </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/734,566	LIHL ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth E. Peterson	3724	·
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 24 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to ore this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comfollowing time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	affidavit, or other evid compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of		e de la companya de l	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(IRST REPLY WAS FILE	DWITHIN IWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened s above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. tatutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24 November 2006</u> . of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repart AMENDMENTS	a)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	FR 41.37(e)), to avoid	I dismissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a brie	of will not be entered	hecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further c			2004400
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	•	·	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	g the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		-	
NOTE: The amendment to claim 4 is a new issue			(DTO) 004
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be	• ——	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment (s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment (s): a lower transfer of the claim (s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-7 and 9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>10-20</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	and business are an in the state of Ellinson at		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, I because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati	= •		• •
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: ____.

it was unpersuasive.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER